

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,547	01/06/2006	Yasuhiro Tanaka	09792909-6329	6870	
26263 SONNENSCH	7590 05/28/200 EIN NATH & ROSEN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 50606-1080			ZIMMERMA	ZIMMERMANN, JOHN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2861			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/542,547	TANAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John P. Zimmermann	2861	

	John P. Zimmermann	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendors for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	n).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of Appeal has been filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4-22. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \limits request for reconsideration has been considered but in the Applicant's argument that "Unlike the claims, Arita silicon oxide can be prevented by the addition of a corrol elution is prevented by addition of corrosion inhibitor alor invention, and it is the claims, not specifications that are 7 IUSPQ21 1064.	et al. does not teach or even fairly sion inhibitor alone," the limitations ne) are not stated in the claims. It i	suggest that the eluti on which the Applica is the claims that defir	on of silicon and nt relies (i.e. the ne the claimed

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______
13. Other: _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/LUU MATTHEW/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2861

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080521